

AMERICA, 1977

In Quicksand Up To Our Waist

Gary Allen, a graduate of Stanford University, is the author of several best-selling books, including *Communist Revolution In The Streets*; *Nixon's Palace Guard*; *Richard Nixon: The Man Behind the Mask*; *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*; *Jimmy Carter/Jimmy Carter*; and *The Rockefeller File*. Mr. Allen is a former instructor of history and English and is nationally active in numerous humanitarian, anti-Communist, and business enterprises. A film writer, author, and investigative reporter, he is a Contributing Editor to *AMERICAN OPINION*.

■ OVER THE twenty years in which this annual *Scoreboard* has been published we have seen a growing awareness on the part of the Conservatives and anti-Communists around the world who study it that powerful forces in the United States have been helping the Communists everywhere and always. Our friends in the Republic of China, South Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Rhodesia, South Africa, Brazil, Argentina, Chile, South Korea, France, Germany, Great Britain, and the Middle East found this especially hard to understand. How is it, they reasoned, that this great nation with so small and unimportant a Communist Party could be so often on the side of the Communists? Conservatives in those nations which have survived now understand that the answer is a vast Conspiracy which has very little to do with Gus Hall and the misfits of the Communist Party, U.S.A.

Because of this vastly increased

sophistication, our *Scoreboard* report on America 1977 will deal directly with the Conspiracy in the United States in terms of cause rather than effect.

THE UNITED STATES

Area: 3,617,204 square miles; Population: 215,667,979; Capital: Washington; Per Capita Income: \$6,000; Head Of State And Of Government: James Earl Carter Jr.

The Carter Cabinet was the straw which broke the back of those who maintained it was inconceivable that a single Establishment Conspiracy controlled the Executive branch of our country under both Democrats and Republicans. Even *Time*, *Newsweek*, *U.S. News & World Report*, and such journals as the *New York Times*, the *Los Angeles Times*, and the *Washington Post* now offer feature stories on such fronts of this Conspiracy as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, and the Bilderbergers. Those who had laughed at our warnings as the ravings of the ill-informed could for the moment no longer do so.

The story of the year, of course, was the rise of Jimmy Carter from bucolic obscurity to the Presidency of the United States in less than nine months. For this scenario the Establishment simply dusted off its scrapbooks and resurrected the old Wendell Willkie formula. Except this time the unknown candidate was more than just a challenger.

The campaign of 1976 was a tri-

umph of mass manipulation. If you journey to your local library and peruse the papers of January 1976, you will find that only about two percent of Democrats, according to the Presidential preference polls, then named Jimmy Carter as the candidate they favored. Out in Las Vegas, Jimmy "The Greek" Snyder was offering Carter boosters something like one hundred to one odds that the former Georgia governor would never make it. Jimmy Carter had about as much chance of being elected President as Roman Polanski had of winning the high jump at the Montreal Olympics, or Howard Cosell had of being voted most popular television sportscaster by the members of Liberty Lobby. Well, that's what almost everyone thought. But some very important people had big plans for Mr. Carter.

If you possess enormous power and influence in the mass media, you can do amazing things. You may not be able to cause Roman Polanski to leap eight feet, or turn Howard Cosell into a gentleman, but you can make a household word of a comparative unknown. The promotion of Jimmy Carter followed the standard marketing procedure of taking the product's biggest liability and turning it into an asset. The theme for the Carter campaign was: Isn't it a pity that Jimmy Carter is not well enough known to get the nomination. That theme was repeated so extensively in both the electronic and printed media that soon Carter's face was as well known as that of Farrah Fawcett-Majors. Mr. Nobody had become Mr. Candidate and was on his way to being Mr. President.

Jimmy Carter happened to be in the right place at the right time. It is doubtful he could have won a single statewide primary outside the South in any other national election. Carter's low-key appeal was a direct result

of the nation's disgust with those it perceived as professional politicians. Having no previous association with Washington, Jimmy Carter was able to take advantage of the public revolution and disillusionment with Big Government and the Washington Establishment.

The former governor barnstormed the country denouncing Washington as a sinkhole of iniquity and the spawning ground of all the nation's ills. The candidate proclaimed: "I don't want anybody to vote for me this year unless that voter wants to see the entire Executive branch of our government completely reorganized and made efficient, economical, simple, purposeful and manageable for a change." Middle America ate it up like hot buttered popcorn at a drive-in movie. Few realized that this drawling peanut farmer from rural Georgia, eloquently castigating the federal Frankenstein on the Potomac, was in fact fronting for the Establishment he was denouncing.

Those who understand the forces behind big-league politics in the United States, of course, were not fooled. They knew how to read the signs. *Insider* watchers were aware that James Earl Carter Jr. was a member of an elitist group known as the Trilateral Commission. *New York* magazine for December 13, 1976, tells us about the founding of the Trilateral Commission in an article by Aaron Latham entitled "Carter's Little Kissingers." According to Latham: "The Trilateral Commission began as a jingle in David Rockefeller's bank of ideas." He continues: "Then David Rockefeller went to a meeting of the Bilderberg Group — an organization set up by Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, later a suspect in the Lockheed payoffs scandal . . . The Chase Manhattan Bank chairman trotted out his idea

once more for old times' sake. The Bilderberg members loved it. Soon thereafter the Trilateral Commission was conceived"

In early 1976, in the *Washington Post*, Laurence Stern told of how the all but unknown Carter met with David Rockefeller in London and was invited to join the then-fledgling Trilateral Commission. It seems David was looking for a promising Southerner. Under consideration were Carter and Governor Reubin Askew of Florida. David was so impressed by the ambitious Georgia governor that he gave Carter the nod. Had Jimmy ordered chitlins and grits for dinner that night in London, dribbled black-eyed peas on his necktie, and belched loudly, it might now be Reubin who is sleeping in the Lincoln bedroom.

Aaron Latham tells us: "Carter attended the commission's first meeting in New York City. This was his induction into the world of the invisible countergovernment. It was not quite a secret world, for that is inhabited by spies. But it was a semi-secret world. A world few people know about or care about. Yet a potentially [sic] powerful world. And a world about as different as it could be from the small world of Plains. Carter continued to attend commission functions faithfully until he began giving all of his time to his presidential campaign"

At which time he loaded his campaign staff with advisors from the Trilateral Commission and the Council on Foreign Relations, giving the lie to his anti-Establishment promises. Unfortunately for Americans, the vast majority knows nothing about either of these conspiratorial organizations, so the tune played in Peoria.

It is likely that David Rockefeller's initial interest in Carter as a Presidential candidate was as a foil to neutralize the potential threat of George

Wallace. The strategy could hardly have worked better. As Carter stumped the South reading old Wallace speeches, Governor Wallace was as frustrated as a prurient blind man at a burlesque show. The Establishment media were meanwhile spreading the message that Carter was a "respectable" Wallace who actually had a chance at the White House. When the act began to draw huge crowds both North and South, Jimmy Carter was promoted into the main tent.

During the subsequent Ford-Carter contest, even the Georgian's campaign manger, Hamilton Jordan, was apparently unaware that his boss had sold himself to the Rockefeller *Insiders*. Jordan told journalist Robert



David Rockefeller recruited Carter from obscurity.

Scheer before the election: "If, after the inauguration, you find a Cy Vance as Secretary of State and Zbigniew Brzezinski as head of National Security, then I would say we failed. And I'd quit. But that's not going to happen. You're going to see new faces, new ideas. The Government is going to be run by people you have never heard of."

Cy Vance and Zbigniew Brzezinski were well known as two of David Rockefeller's lieutenants. And what happened to them? Vance is Secretary of State and Brzezinski is the top National Security Advisor. Ham Jordan blushed when the appointments were announced, but he didn't quit. He has learned to adjust to hypocrisy and is today serving as Assistant to the President. It sure beats shovelling peanuts in Plains.

Readers of this magazine know that the Rockefeller family has an organization through which conspirators have manipulated the foreign policies of both Democrats and Republican Administrations for many decades. This is the Council on Foreign Relations, known as the C.F.R., which is composed of approximately 1,650 members selected from the elite of big government, big banking, big business, big media, the big foundations, and big education. The C.F.R. dates back to 1919, when it was started by international banking interests which were upset that the United States had refused to be drawn into the League of Nations. Originally the operation was under the authority of J.P. Morgan. When the Morgans ran out of male heirs in the late 1920s the Rockefellers took command. Today David Rockefeller serves as chairman of the board of the C.F.R. and places members of that organization in the power posts of whatever Administration is in power.

There are four Presidential appointments which regularly go to Rockefeller operatives. They are those of National Security Advisor, Secretary of State, Secretary of Defense, and Secretary of the Treasury. Establishment *Insiders* thus control foreign policy, national defense, and money. The Rockefeller empire is spread out over one hundred twenty-five nations, you see, giving the family what is euphemistically known as an "intense interest in foreign affairs." And, naturally, the Rockefellers and their international banking allies are eternally interested in the government's money policies. They prefer to make them. Foreign affairs, national defense, and financial policy are viewed as too important to be left in the hands of elected officials.

In the change from the Ford Re-

publicans to the Carter Democrats, Zbigniew Brzezinski of the Rockefellers' C.F.R. replaced Major General Brent Scowcroft of the C.F.R. as National Security Advisor. Cyrus Vance of the C.F.R. succeeded Henry Kissinger of the C.F.R. Harold Brown, C.F.R., took over for the C.F.R.'s Donald Rumsfeld as Secretary of Defense. And Michael Blumenthal of the C.F.R. took over the checkbook of Secretary of the Treasury from William Simon of the same old C.F.R. It's all a very important game of musical chairs with the Rockefellers and their *Insider* friends owning the chairs. Anyone so naïve as to think this is accidental should not be allowed to shave with real razor blades.

This is not to say that the *Insiders* of this carefully laid conspiracy are interested only in the Big Four appointments. It is just that these are sacrosanct. The successful candidate is allowed to pay off his other political debts with such positions as Secretary of Agriculture or Secretary of Labor. But many other key policy-making positions are also put in the hands of the *Insiders'* operatives. In addition to those already mentioned, the Carter Administration now employs such C.F.R. stalwarts as: Vice President Walter Mondale, Executive Assistant to the President James Johnson, Secretary of H.E.W. Joseph Califano, Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Patricia Roberts Harris, Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisors Charles Schultze, Under Secretary for Monetary Affairs Anthony Solomon, Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for International Affairs Fred Bergsten, Arms Control and Disarmament Agency Director Paul Warnke, and Panama Treaty negotiator Sol Linowitz.

At the State Department, C.F.R. operatives include Ambassador at Large Elliot Richardson, Deputy Sec-

retary of State Warren Christopher, Under Secretary for Economic Affairs Richard Cooper, Deputy Under Secretary for Security Joseph Nye, Director General of the Foreign Service Carol Laise, Counselor of the Department of State Matthew Nimetz, and Assistant Secretaries of State Richard Holbrooke, Arthur Hartman, and Charles Maynes.

Helping Harold Brown at the Department of Defense is Assistant Secretary of Defense David McGiffert of the C.F.R. and Navy Under Secretary James Woolsey of the C.F.R. Heading the Central Intelligence Agency is the C.F.R.'s Admiral Stansfield Turner. Gale McGee of the C.F.R. is serving as Permanent Representative to the O.A.S. and the C.F.R.'s Donald McHenry is Deputy U.S. Representative to the U.N. Security Council. Richard Gardner of the C.F.R. is serving as Ambassador to Italy and the C.F.R.'s Kingman Brewster is Ambassador to Great Britain while William H. Sullivan of the C.F.R. is Ambassador to oil-rich Iran. And on and on.

So much for the "new leadership" America was promised by Jimmy Carter during his campaign.

In times past the bosses of the mass media dealt with the C.F.R.'s conspiratorial control over the Executive branch by ignoring it. So few people were familiar with this organization that this could be safely done. The small coterie of persistent Americanists who kept pointing to the fact that this Establishment fraternity was running Administration after Administration, whether Republican or Democrat, could for a time be treated like people who claim they have seen flying saucers. Today, thanks to the persistent educational efforts of The John Birch Society and others, enough Americans are now aware of the existence of the C.F.R. that it can no longer be ignored. The

new strategy is to explain that the conspiratorial Council, once very influential and powerful, has now largely lost its clout. An example of such clever propaganda appeared in *Newsweek* for March 28, 1977. Consider:

"Since the end of World War II, U.S. foreign policy has been dominated largely by the circle of influential men who belong to New York's Council on Foreign Relations. From



**Carter gave reins
to the Rockefeller
C.F.R. and T.C.**

Franklin D. Roosevelt to Jimmy Carter every President has recruited council luminaries — its membership roll is a sort of Who's Who of the Eastern Establishment elite — for high level diplomatic trouble-shooting missions or for top jobs in his Administration. But the council is not universally admired. Some outsiders view it as a kind of shadow government; others dismiss it as a private club where aging foreign-policy mandarins pontificate over tea and cookies. Both views are exaggerated, but of late even some of the council's elders have grown alarmed by a sense of their organization's waning influence. The result has been a genteel furore within the booklined confines of the council's four-story headquarters on Park Avenue.

"Like all council affairs, the squabble has been kept carefully out of the public domain. It began last month when Bayless Manning, 53, a former Stanford Law School dean, quietly submitted his resignation as council president after nearly six years in the job. In hushed tones — voices are never raised at the council

— the word spread that Manning had been eased out of office, principally by David Rockefeller, the council's chairman. By these accounts, Manning was deemed by council elders to have been a lackluster fund raiser and to have presided over what some view as the council's decline into little more than a cozy club for dilettantes — a comedown from its traditional role as the source of seminal thinking on U.S. foreign policy.

"Both Rockefeller and Manning deny this version . . .

"The heyday of the council was the 1940s through the early 1960s, and many of its most illustrious figures are linked with the cold-war era — and the discredited war in Indochina. The roster includes such pre-eminent leaders of the Establishment as John J. McCloy, the Wall Street banker who served as High Commissioner in postwar Germany, as well as the late John Foster Dulles, President Eisenhower's Secretary of State, and his brother, Allen Dulles, a former director of the Central Intelligence Agency.

"Council members are still found in high places in Washington. Four key members are now officials of the Carter Administration . . . But when it comes to providing fresh talent and innovative concepts on such pressing global problems as food, energy, and population control, it is the Trilateral Commission — a newer and younger organization with members drawn from North America, Japan and Europe — that is considered the real 'supply depot' for the Carter Administration. 'We don't have the cutting edge we once had. We're not really in the center of things,' one council member laments."

This is clever, of course, but far from the whole truth. To say that the Trilateral Commission has become more influential in the Carter Admin-

istration than the C.F.R. is like reporting the demise of one of the Smith Brothers because he no longer coughs as loud as the other. Like claiming that General Motors is failing because Pontiac is falling behind Oldsmobile. Suggesting a rivalry between the C.F.R. and the Trilateral Commission (T.C.) ignores the reality that the Trilateral Commission was the creation of David Rockefeller, chairman of the board of the Council on Foreign Relations, and is run by the same Conspiracy.

So tightly interlocked are these Siamese twins of the Establishment *Insiders* that many key men in the Carter Administration hold dual memberships. They include Brzezinski, Brown, Blumenthal, and



Walter Mondale
belonged to both
C.F.R. and T.C.

Vance — the Big Four. Others are Vice President Mondale, Ambassador Elliot Richardson, Under Secretary of State Richard Cooper, Deputy Secretary of State Warren Christopher, Assistant Secretary of State Richard Holbrooke, Ambassador Richard N. Gardner, Under Secretary of Treasury Anthony Morton Solomon, Arms Control Director Paul Warnke, and Panama Treaty negotiator Sol Linowitz.

In short, President Carter has openly embraced the conspirators whom Candidate Carter denounced. Syndicated columnist Kevin Phillips, a recent convert to Establishment watching, comments:

"In the past years, the South has been especially good at breeding politicians who spend Mondays out in the cricks and hollers walloping the big

financial interests and then spend Tuesday in town picking up l'il old campaign contributions from the Tallapoosa Power Company and the Piedmont National Bank.

"This pattern now seems to be going national. On July 15, Jimmy Carter stood before the Democratic National Convention, attacking America's powerful economic and political elite for the benefit of the television audience out there in the



**Rockefeller gungsel
Zbigniew Brzezinski
headed Trilateralists.**

cricks and hollers. Then only a week later, on July 22, Carter was in New York, at the exclusive '21,' lunching with that same elite. The occasion was a meeting of top multinational businessmen

"Jimmy Carter's role in attacking the economic power elite while privately advancing the interests and theorists of multinationalism may be the ultimate triumph of Southern good-old-boyism. Goodbye, Piedmont National Bank, hello Chase Manhattan and Banque Rothschild."

What do the international bankers and moguls of the multinationals want? Zbigniew Brzezinski, the man David Rockefeller selected to head his Trilateral Commission, is quite blunt about it. He states: "The old framework of international politics — with their spheres of influence, military alliances between nation-states, the fiction of sovereignty, doctrinal conflicts arising from 19th century crises — is clearly no longer compatible with reality The nation-state as a fundamental unit of man's organized life has ceased to be the principal creative force; in-

ternational banks and multinational corporations are acting and planning in terms that are far in advance of the political concepts of the nation-state."

In other words, what we are talking about here, says Brzezinski, is "an end to nationhood." Nationhood (that is, American sovereignty and independence) can only be stripped away under sundry disguises. In the words of Carter advisor Richard Gardner (C.F.R. and T.C.), "an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece, will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault."

What is the motivation of these Establishment *Insiders* in pushing for an end to nationhood in favor of World Government? Again, let us take David Rockefeller as prototype. The Rockefellers' financial and petroleum empire is scattered over 125 separate countries. That means the Rockefellers must deal with 125 separate political systems, 125 separate economic systems, 125 separate legal systems, and 125 separate bureaucracies. How much easier it would be if all these 125 nations were combined into one.

More important, by simply controlling the men at the top of a World Government, the Rockefellers and their fellow international conspirators would become the *de facto* rulers of the planet. This would give them control over the world's natural resources, commerce, banking, manufacturing, and transportation. Whether you choose to call the system Communism, Socialism, Fascism, or Monopoly Capitalism, the fact remains that individual liberty would be abolished in the name of World Government.

The Establishment *Insiders* know that Americans will not willingly surrender their nationhood. They have

to be tricked into it. So, instead of talking about World Government, the conspirators of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission use the code phrase "New World Order" or "New International Order." The average man dismisses these terms as political hokum and doubletalk, on a par with syrupy statements about brotherhood and humanitarianism. He does so at great risk.

One of the major pitches now being made for the New World Order is the idea that only such international control can save us from a worldwide crisis in energy and raw materials. If you listen to the well-paid Establishment doomsayers, the world is running out of everything except people. The fact is that the planet's major problems do *not* result from too little energy and too few resources, but from too much government.

The reputed worldwide energy crisis is a concoction of the *Insiders* designed not only to produce vast cartel-enforced profits but to justify a surrender of sovereignty to Trilateral interests on the way to the New World Order. On April 20, 1977, in his dramatic presentation to Congress on the "energy crisis," President Carter proclaimed that energy is the greatest national challenge "in our lifetime" and a crisis "that could overwhelm us." According to the President, the world will virtually have pumped its last barrel of oil in less than a decade. He cited in the course of this frightening estimate a study conducted by the Central Intelligence Agency. Golly, gee whiz, wow, shouted "Liberal" mass media that otherwise wouldn't accept the C.I.A.'s word for a nose-count of pedestrians in Plains, Georgia, at midnight. Yet in this case it was as if the figures had been written in stone by the fiery finger of Cecil B. DeMille. Why?

Because the C.I.A. report to the effect that the last drop of oil will be blown out of an exhaust pipe in less than a decade is as phony as a three-dollar gold brick. Within weeks, three learned studies totally contradicting the C.I.A. report were issued. A report from the Institute for Training and Research and the International Institute for Applied System Analysis, made up of top geologists, engineers, economists, and systems analysts, indicated that the world has at least a one-hundred-year supply of oil left. The Stanford Research Institute and the National Economic Research Associates, based in New York City, reached and reported similar conclusions. Both estimates were highly conservative.

The fact is that the world is awash in oil, and more is being discovered all the time. For example, recent findings in the Beaufort Sea off Alaska and in Mexico hold great promise. The game is simply to use energy control as a means of justifying the move into the New World Order. (For details and lengthy proofs see my article "Target Oil" in *AMERICAN*



**State Secretary
Cyrus Vance
of C.F.R. and T.C.**

OPINION for January 1977 and William P. Hoar's article "Power Grab" in *AMERICAN OPINION* for June 1977.)

But the created energy crisis is only part of the conditioning to which we are being subjected. About every five years the Establishment comes up with a new *libword* upon which great attention is focused by the controlled media. In the middle 1960s the In word was *poverty*. By the

early 1970s, *ecology* was the rage. Now it is *interdependence*. The idea is to use the concept of an interdependent One World as a pretext for political amalgamation.

Leading the orchestration this time is the Trilateral Commission. Its official publication, *Dialogue* (Winter 1977), reports on the Trilateralist meeting in January 1977 in Japan, at which the theme was, you guessed it, INTERDEPENDENCE. According to *Dialogue*: "... A number of speakers argued that there is no retreat from interdependence. Thus the question is not whether we have interdependence or not; it is how interdependence is to be managed and organized. A Japanese speaker remarked that it is bad for all if the value of interdependence is cast into doubt at this time of difficulties in the world economy. The perceived value of interdependence provides useful incentives for keeping domestic policies on the right path. One European described the notion of *reducing* interdependence as a 'reactionary utopia' which ... 'raises brainlessness to a principle.'"

As Trilateralist Marina V.N. Whitman, a professional economist, observed in the *Christian Science Monitor* of February 7, 1977: "... There is no real alternative to working together to solve common problems in an interdependent world. And that, in essence, is what the 'Trilateral conspiracy' is all about."

Jeremiah Novak, formerly with the *Washington Post*, wrote in *America* magazine of February 5, 1977, that the Trilateral Commission amounts to a new *ism* on the world scene: "Given the fact that many of the commission's members have gained significant power in the recent elections, and given the depth of its research and the scope and number of its writings, it is not too early

to begin thinking of a new 'ism' in world affairs — 'trilateralism' — a potent world view based on the perceptions and plans of this American-based organization; a world view likely to shape U.S.-Western policy in the coming years."

At last count there were at least sixteen Trilateralists in high positions in the Carter Administration — over one-fourth the entire North American membership. Novak reveals that the organization is already making U.S. policy: "According to sources in the State Department, the Trilateral papers have directly influenced the summoning of the Rambouillet and Puerto Rico conferences, the formation of the International Energy Agency and steps to establish a new



**Treasury Secretary
Blumenthal of the
Rockefeller C.F.R.**

international currency, which replaces the U.S. dollar and gold. The commission's record and its powerful influence after the 1976 elections deserve a great deal of respect."

Novak tells us that "Trilateralism is, by its name, based on a belief that three areas represent the 'core' of the world economic system. Linked by a common democratic creed, and as the world's most advanced industrial societies — who among themselves carry on 70 percent of world trade — these nations have the right and duty to assume the leadership in creating a new [world system]. The group believes that the policies of the trilateral world must be harmonized, as the United States alone can no longer take on responsibility for the international economic system. It also believes that if a new order is created, it

must be based on a recognition that the United States is now only the first among equals in the industrial world

"The rules cover such areas as international monetary systems, international trade in raw materials and industrial goods (a new area not covered by Bretton Woods) and use of the 'commons,' such as the oceans,



**Trilateralist
Young seeks a
New World Order.**

space and the poles. These rules are seen as universally applicable and subject to sanctions in the event they are violated."

While the Trilateral Commission is now built around the United States, Western Europe, and Japan, it is later to include the rest of the world. Novak reports: "The second set of relationships concerns the need for consultations among trilateral, Communist, Third World and OPEC groups" Trilateralism, like interdependence, is not a final goal, but a means by which to achieve the New World Order.

One of the first objectives in this long-range scheme is a matter which has long been high on the priority list of the international bankers — a One World money system with a currency backed solely by paper. This system may be expanded and contracted at will, thus causing world stock markets and commodities to rocket or collapse on signal. It is a manipulator's dream. Fortunes are made in gyrating markets, which is one reason David Rockefeller and his minions are promoting the scheme. Gold is the natural enemy of such manipulators, enforcing stability, and so the

international monetary system must be totally divested from the "barbarous metal."

As Novak writes: "The Trilateral Commission's most immediate concern is the creation of a new world monetary system to replace gold and the dollar as the international exchange units with a new currency called special drawing rights (SDR's). In fact, as a move in this direction, the commission was instrumental in the IMF's sale of its gold and in the creation of a system of denoting a-l currencies in terms of SDR's as a first step in the push for a new world system."

The result of this would be an all-powerful world financial institution. Thus, says Novak, "the return to fixed rates and the establishment of SDR's greatly strengthens the power of both the IMF and the World Bank, and, indeed, there is talk of merging these institutions into one superbank. It also diminishes the role of the dollar in international trade, while promoting the interests of the entire trilateral area."

The *Christian Science Monitor* of February 7, 1977, elaborates, noting that "the commission calls for a new IMF, which would function like the Federal Reserve System, but on a larger scale. The new IMF would be able to 'create' money or restrict the 'creation' of money, just as the Federal Reserve now does in the U.S." The *Monitor* continues:

To do this, a new currency called "Bancor" would be established. Like the Special Drawing Rights of the IMF, this currency would replace gold and the dollar as the world monetary unit. All currencies would be fixed in terms of Bancor, so that at some future date even travelers checks and all export-import transactions would be calculated in terms of Bancor.

The new IMF would also be a "banker of last resort," capable of helping national central banks and the multinational banks in times of distress. Like the Federal Reserve and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) in the U.S., the new IMF could step in to help out banks in trouble in any part of the world.

According to an economist at Harvard, "The new IMF is the centerpiece of the system. It would change the system completely and may lead to a whole new era in finance."

The World Bank would be changed as well. At present, the World Bank raises funds on the private capital markets in New York, Tokyo, and Frankfurt. "Under the new system, the World Bank could borrow Bancor from the IMF," said one Trilateral official. "This would change the whole system and make possible more foreign aid to developing countries."

The ultimate result, as Novak hints, would be a World Super Government. He says "the commission envisions an interlocking economic system, with sanctions for nations who break the rules. By including the 'commons' within the rules of the new planetary system, a new set of premiums and penalties can be incorporated into the world economic system. It is clear that the thinking of the Trilateral Commission goes beyond Bretton Woods and creates an economic reality for which there is no complementary political reality — that is, a world economy without [sic] a world Government. But we have lived with a similar situation for the past 30 years, and it is clear that the establishment of a world economic system may lead to new initiatives for political integration."

There would be another ultimate result. Much of the push for the creation of a World Superbank with

the ability (like our own Federal Reserve System) to create money and credit with nothing more than a printing press comes from the fact that many countries are running huge deficits to pay for petroleum imports. These deficits are chronic. According to *Business Week* for March 28, 1977, the major private bankers who have been financing the oil deficits want to turn the job over to a world bank. The *New York Times*, January 30, 1977, tells us that the "developing countries" rapidly mounting debts [have] now pushed past \$200 billion, with billions of those dollars owed to commercial banks in this country"

Now we get to the gritty-nitty. The Rockebanks and the other big international banks have been lending billions of dollars to prop up deadbeat socialist economies. The check for the sumptuous meal has arrived, and the diner is trying to crawl out the window of the men's room. But *somebody* has to pay the bill, so the bankers want to present it to the taxpayers. To you!

Either the new One World banking institution will have to be capitalized by the "rich" nations or it will have to



**Defense Secretary
Harold Brown
of C.F.R. and T.C.**

print its own unbacked notes. *Business Week* reports that "delicate, secret negotiations that would bring up to \$20 billion" in new funds into the I.M.F. are under way. It doesn't say so, but you know which Trilateral nation is going to put up the bulk of the money. Clue: It will not be Britain or Italy. The capital which the Carterites turn over to the I.M.F. will

be taxed out of the American economy or added to the National Debt. Either way it will be a major burden to our inflation-ridden, capital-starved economy and add greatly to unemployment.

Once the I.M.F.'s new capital is spent (and that will be in the twinkling of an eye), the Superbank will have to resort to printing an unending supply of Bancor notes which will mean good business for the paper and ink suppliers but runaway inflation worldwide.

What the *Insiders* are creating at such terrible cost is a mammoth control system. Control the money of the world and you control the natural resources of the world. Control the natural resources of the world and you control the world. The so-called developing nations will thus be kept perpetually in hock to the world Superbank. The developed nations will foot most of the bills. And the *Insiders* of this conspiracy will acquire unlimited world power.

There is nothing new about the Conspiracy behind all of this, of course. Nationally syndicated columnist Kevin Phillips comments: "We forget how Americans used to bemoan 'international bankers,' perceiving their selfish interests in every twist and turn of U.S. foreign policy. In 1936, inflamed by popular feeling that bankers had dragged the United States into World War I the Democratic Party platform actually pledged to avoid being drawn into overseas conflict by 'political commitments, international banking or private trading . . .'" Very good advice.

How bad is the situation? The United States is already faced with problems resulting from withdrawal of backing for our currency. The April issue of the *L.T. Patterson Strategy Letter* notes that the Arabs

historically have purchased sophisticated military hardware from the U.S. and this has greatly eased our pressing balance of payments problem. However, says Patterson, "For the first time ever, Kuwait has contracted for the purchase of sophisticated Soviet hardware — SAM-7 missiles." Why? Because the Carter Administration has *refused* to permit sale of billions of dollars' worth of arms ordered by the oil-producing nations of the Middle East. Nothing could be better for our oil-logged balance of payments, but Jimmy says no. There is much more to it than concern about an arms race.

Patterson says the Soviets may be preparing to back the ruble with gold to make it an international currency



**H.E.W. Secretary
Joseph Califano
another C.F.R. agent.**

competing with the depreciating paper dollar. The new currency would be known as the T-(for transferable) Ruble. According to Patterson: "European exporters, up to their ears in Soviet credits, are anxious to terminate this granting of credit, in exchange for the T-Ruble. But, why? Because the T-Ruble will then be used to pay off the O.P.E.C. countries for their oil exports! The O.P.E.C. countries can, in turn, use the T-Rubles to pay for their importation of sophisticated Soviet military hardware . . ."

As to why the Arabs would accept the T-Ruble, Patterson answers: "Because the T-Ruble is to be given a gold value (either directly or indirectly), and this is something that the anti-American Trilateralists have repeatedly refused to do for the U.S.

dollar. It's either the rapidly depreciating dollar, or the toilet-paper I.O.U.-nothing S.D.R.'s offered by the Treasury."

In short, Patterson claims that the conspiratorial Trilateralists are "pushing the Arabs into the Soviet orbit. And don't think for a minute this is done stupidly, due to ignorance." Add to this the fact that Trilateralists Jimmy Carter and his man Andrew Young are doing everything possible to turn strategic and mineral-rich Africa over to the Russians and the game is astounding. Of course the Soviets will use proxies for the takeover, but once the South African Castro has taken power, the Bolsheviks will come strutting in with red flags waving.

Remember that South Africa is the world's Number One gold producer and the Soviet Union is Number Two. You don't have to have a Ph.D. in geopolitics to figure out what Soviet control over southern



**H.U.D. Secretary
Patricia Harris
also of the C.F.R.**

Africa and its phenomenal mineral and energy resources would mean. For one thing, the T-Ruble would push the dollar and the Bancor into the ashcan of world currencies. Then the Soviets would be brought into the World Government on at least an equal basis, with the Trilateralists selling the idea of *begging* the Bolsheviks to join as a means of saving the economies of the West.

As this long-range strategy is being implemented, the Conspiracy means to see that the West, and particularly America, does whatever is necessary to sustain the Soviet East with its

incredibly inefficient state-planning system. From the moment President Carter started naming the appointees to his Administration it was obvious that he meant to cozy up to the Russian bear and feed him a great deal more than peanuts.

Meanwhile, according to *U.S. News & World Report* for February 14, 1977, Carter named "soft-boiled men to key jobs dealing with national security." *Human Events* noted: "The intelligence community is becoming increasingly alarmed at the type of people Jimmy Carter and his aides are putting into key jobs dealing with this country's national security. 'Judging from the appointments,' said one observer, only half-jokingly, 'the hardliners will soon be fondly recalling Henry Kissinger as a hawk.'" What is happening?

The *Los Angeles Times* of February 4, 1977, carried a story headlined "Carter Pushing Post For Warnke To Signal Russia." It begins: "The Carter Administration's choice of Paul C. Warnke to be its strategic arms negotiator with the Russians was made in part because the President wanted to send the Kremlin an unmistakable message of willingness to press ahead to a new strategic arms treaty before October." Warnke has dual membership in the C.F.R. and the Trilateral Commission and was a registered foreign agent of Communist Algeria. He was chief national security advisor to George McGovern in 1972. He provided the copy of the Pentagon Papers that Daniel Ellsberg arranged to have delivered to the Reds.

And the result of this appointment? Wasting no time, Warnke has already abolished the Verification and Analysis Bureau. It had been established to check on and verify Soviet compliance with the SALT I Treaty. Aaron Katz, former head of

the bureau, said: "The guys trying to negotiate a treaty just don't want to hear the bad news from the guys who know what is possible in verifying or policing a treaty." Former Deputy Director John Lehman said the move was a "disastrous" signal to the Soviets. Mr. Carter is also sending other signals reminiscent of the days when Dean Acheson announced that South Korea was outside our defense perimeter. The President frightens even "Liberal" columnists Evans and Novak. In a report titled "Carter Has Gagged The Generals," they comment as follows:

Just as President Carter was publicly rebuking Maj. Gen. John E. Singlaub, resentment among senior military officers was given a stronger impetus when the Joint Chiefs of Staff (J.C.S.) belatedly learned about the latest SALT proposal.

The J.C.S. was briefed only last week on the new U.S. Strategic Arms Limitations (SALT) plan some two weeks after it was submitted to the Russians. The new proposals embrace basic questions of U.S. military strength, including retarded development of the cruise missile. That has generated rising resentment among senior officers that reaches into the J.C.S. itself

The Army is at the center of Pentagon discontent mainly because of special concern with Korea. When Mr. Carter entered office, he did not ask the Pentagon's advice on whether to remove troops from South Korea but merely requested their comments on the best way to do it (just as he did on his plan for drastic mutual reduction in long-range missiles)

Singlaub's view stated to the Washington Post that Mr. Carter's Korean policy will lead to war is nearly universally shared by other U.S. generals

The possibility of a pattern here is suggested by the downgrading of military intelligence units, putting the C.I.A. in a monopolistic status. While deprived of a full advisory voice before positions are taken, the officers are barred by the Constitution from commenting afterwards — as John Singlaub has learned.

The gagged condition of the military contrasts starkly with the rest of the openmouthed administration, most conspicuously Secretary of Labor Ray Marshall criticizing the President's economic policies and U.N. Ambassador Andrew Young saying whatever comes to his mind. At a time of U.S. military decline in relation to the Soviet Union, this anomaly breeds angry frustration among the military.

Carter has meanwhile been continuing the Johnson-Nixon policy of transferring key technology to the



**Disarmament boss
Paul Warnke
of the C.F.R. and T.C.**

Soviet Union — a policy wholeheartedly endorsed by the conspirators of the C.F.R. So serious did this situation become that even Jack Anderson was dumbfounded, writing:

Control Data is preparing to sell the Soviets a \$13 million electronic brain which could be turned against us to track U.S. missiles, planes and submarines. It is also capable of decoding sensitive U.S. intelligence transmission.

The miracle machine is the Cyber 76, which will soon be on its way to the Soviet Union unless there is a last-minute stop order. It not only

will be the largest computer ever delivered behind the Iron Curtain, but it is more than a decade ahead of the Soviets' own computer technology. It operates at least 20 times faster than anything the Soviets produce.

A top-secret interagency study warns tersely that the Soviets can convert the Cyber 76 to military use. Not only can it be used for tracking and decoding, but it could also improve the production of nuclear warheads, multiple-headed missiles, aircraft and other military hardware.

There is no sure safeguard to prevent this, the study declares. An intelligence source put it more bluntly. "For a few bucks," he told us, "we're willing to give the Soviets the means to destroy us. We're becoming our own executioners."

And, what does the United States get in return? Russia is going to drop the tariff on vodka from \$5.00 to \$1.25 a gallon. Zbigniew Brzezinski can drink to that. After all, he made it clear in an interview with *U.S. News & World Report* for May 30, 1977, that he means to include the Russians in the New World Order. The man David Rockefeller named to head the Trilateral Commission says the Soviets will be accommodated:

It is our view that we are now at a stage in history in which the United States again has to undertake a creative process of building a new world system I can say this: We are challenging the Soviets to cooperate with us or run the risk of becoming historically irrelevant to the great issues of our time But détente to us essentially means progressive accommodation

I want to emphasize the proposition that our foreign policy is not based on the notion of permanent

antagonism toward the Soviet Union — of an endless conflict. Rather, it is derived from the notion that in the kind of world we live in today, the ideological conflicts of the 1950s are less and less relevant. There is the growing need for collaboration between the major countries in the world in coping with the variety of new dilemmas which have surfaced in the course of the last 20 years.

This is not precisely how the Soviets assess the situation. In 1973, British intelligence acquired an important internal statement by Soviet boss Leonid Brezhnev. Obtained from a man who attended a secret meeting between Brezhnev and European Communist leaders in Prague, that policy statement said: "We are achieving with détente what our predecessors have been unable to achieve using the mailed fist Trust us,



**Canal Quisling
Sol M. Linowitz
also C.F.R. and T.C.**

comrades, for by 1985, as a consequence of what we are now achieving with détente, we will have achieved most of our objectives in Western Europe. We will have consolidated our position. We will have improved our economy. And a decisive shift in the correlation of forces will be such that, come 1985, we will be able to exert our will wherever we need to."

Meanwhile, as Medford Evans notes elsewhere in this *Scoreboard*, Communism is on the rise in Western Europe. But you will be glad to know that this is not something one should be concerned about. State Department spokesman Hodding Carter III has issued the following statement

on *Eurocommunism*: "We believe the position of a Communist Party in a particular country is a matter to be decided by the people and government concerned." *Time* magazine for April 18, 1977, adds: "... The Administration has decided it must — and can — live with Western Europe's Communists."

Yet a Communist Western Europe does not bother the multinational *Insiders*. In fact, they apparently view it as a catalyst for amalgamating the Communists of the East into the New World Order being pushed by the Trilateralists.

The same principle holds true for Africa. Gerard Smith (C.F.R. and T.C.) is responsible for Trilateral Commission affairs in North Africa, working directly under David Rockefeller. Smith declared in October 1976: "The Trilateral Commission must in no sense be anti-communist. Its essential aims continue to be the improvement of relations between the U.S.-Europe-Japan group and the U.S.S.R. and [Red] China."

Does this mean that the billionaire elitists who sponsor the C.F.R. and the T.C. are Communists? Certainly not. They know very well what an unproductive system Marxism is. They have had to feed Western technology to the Soviet Union for over fifty years to keep it alive. They see Marxism only as a useful foil, to prod the West towards World Government under their own control.

What if the Soviets use our military technology to double-cross the *Insiders* and themselves take control? The establishment *Insiders* give no indication that this worries them. If it did they would stop transferring Western capital and technology to the Soviets. (At this point the West has provided the Reds with some forty billion dollars in loans.) We could be wrong, but we don't think the *Insiders* are fools, masochists, or possessed of a death wish. We think the reported buildup of Soviet military power is chiefly a matter of psychological warfare aimed at getting America to accept the Great Merger. This is easily stopped by using our high technology to develop the best possible weapons while putting a halt to U.S. aid and trade with the Communists.

Just what the pecking order is within the Conspiracy, and how the *Insiders* of the West have agreed to reward the Communists for their part in the master scheme, we don't pretend to know. You don't have to have *all* the answers to face up to the fact that we are in quicksand up to our waist. The method of escape is clear enough, however. It is to expose the Conspiracy and call for help from our fellow Americans while there is still time to pull ourselves out of a potentially fatal situation. Ourselves and, as this *Scoreboard 1977* clearly illustrates, the rest of the world as well. ■ ■

CRACKER BARREL

- The fact is, says author Gary Allen, that the planet's major problems do *not* result from too little energy and too few resources, but from too much government.
- Formerly the greatest rice exporter in the world, Burma under socialism is now unable to fill even her own needs.
- We note scores of people who are astounded by the fact that the Pilgrims made it to Plymouth Rock unaided by government.
- Mao Tse-tung holds the record for having killed more people than anyone in history — an estimated 60,000,000.
- Standing in the way of a balanced Budget, observes Senator James B. Allen of Alabama, are a "spendthrift Congress" and President Carter's own pledges to reform Welfare and provide national health insurance.